



Effectivity of Nickel-Boron Plating Using Electroless Method to Reduce the Corrosion Rate of A36 Carbon Steel

Undergraduate Thesis

Submitted as one of the requirements to obtain

Sarjana Teknik (S.T)

By:

Pingkan Kirana Chandranita

003202100011

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

MECHANICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

PRESIDENT UNIVERSITY

2024

PLAGIARISM REPORT

Effectivity of Nickel-Boron Plating Using Electroless Method to Reduce the Corrosion Rate of A36 Carbon Steel

ORIGINALITY REPORT

8%

SIMILARITY INDEX

6%

INTERNET SOURCES

5%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

weather.uwyo.edu

Internet Source

2%

2

qa1.scielo.br

Internet Source

1%

3

ore.exeter.ac.uk

Internet Source

1%

4

lib.ui.ac.id

Internet Source

<1%

5

Fabienne Delaunois, Véronique Vitry, Luiza Bonin. "Electroless Nickel Plating - Fundamentals to Applications", CRC Press, 2019

Publication

<1%

6

mej.aut.ac.ir

Internet Source

<1%

7

www.authorstream.com

Internet Source

<1%

Pingkan Kirana

Chapter_003202100011 (1)

 Thesis Engineering

Document Details

Submission ID**trn:oid:::3618:116608774****Submission Date****Oct 14, 2025, 11:50 AM GMT+7****Download Date****Oct 14, 2025, 1:06 PM GMT+7****File Name****Chapter_003202100011 (1).pdf****File Size****4.2 MB****120 Pages****28,230 Words****129,691 Characters**

*% detected as AI

AI detection includes the possibility of false positives. Although some text in this submission is likely AI generated, scores below the 20% threshold are not surfaced because they have a higher likelihood of false positives.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (i.e., our AI models may produce either false positive results or false negative results), so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



ABSTRACT

This study aims to analyse the effectivity of nickel-boron coating using the electroless method on A36 carbon steel's corrosion rate. The analysis will be based on the result of the immersion test done on every specimen in alkaline, acidic, and salt environments. The alkaline environment will use potassium hydroxide (KOH) with a pH of 9 to 12. The acidic environment will use hydrochloric acid (HCl) on a pH of 3 to 6. Lastly, the salt environment will use sodium chloride (NaCl) in a concentration of 3%, 5%, 7%, and 10%. The result shows that electroless nickel-boron plating successfully reduces the corrosion rate of carbon steel by 29.9% in the alkaline environment, 14.6% in the acidic environment, and 17.8% in the salt environment.

Keywords: Electroless Nickel-Boron Coating, Carbon Steel, Corrosion Rate, Industrial Environment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to begin by expressing my deepest gratitude to the Almighty Gods, Allah SWT, for blessing me with the strength, knowledge, and wisdom for the author to complete the thesis entitled “Effectivity of Nickel-Boron Plating Using Electroless Method to Reduce the Corrosion Rate of A36 Carbon Steel” smoothly, fulfilling the requirements for the Bachelor of Engineering degree from the Mechanical Engineering Study Program at President University.

The author extends sincere appreciation to the following individuals and organizations for their invaluable contributions:

1. Dr-Ing Erwin Parasian Sitompul, M.Eng., Dean of the Faculty of Engineering, President University, for providing guidance and leadership to the Mechanical Engineering Study Program.
2. Dr. Lydia Anggraini, S.T. M.Eng, Head of the President University's Mechanical Engineering Study Program and Thesis Advisor, for her dedication in directing and mentoring students, including the author, to achieve excellence and competitiveness.
3. The author’s parents, Tarmuji and Kustiana, to whom this thesis is dedicated. Their unconditional love, prayers, and support have been crucial for the author’s academic journey and personal growth.
4. The author’s dear older sibling, one and only brother, Muhammad Denny Chrisna Pujangga who always supporting throughout the author’s hard yet growing journey. Thank you for always believing in my dreams and supporting them, no matter how unusual it is. The one person who always be there for his little sister and will never leave.
5. The author's dearest best friends who always support me throughout my every journey, the ones who always know how much their support means to me. Those people who are away but their hug will never leave the author’s side, 3NG members: Cindy, Khaliza, Dewinta, Dyna, Fatia, Aisya, and Aulia.
6. The author’s best comrades and friends who always give their best support, batch 2021 of Mechanical Engineering President University.
7. The community of Mechanical Engineering President University students, for sharing their academic journey.

TABLE OF CONTENT

PANEL OF EXAMINER APPROVAL	0
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	1
THESIS APPROVAL	2
SCIENTIFIC PUBLIC APPROVAL FOR ACADEMIC INTEREST	3
PLAGIARISM REPORT.....	4
GPT ZERO CHECK.....	5
ABSTRACT	6
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	7
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	9
LIST OF TABLES.....	12
LIST OF FIGURES	13
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	18
1.1 Background.....	18
1.2 Problem Identification	19
1.3 Research Question	20
1.4 Research Objectives.....	20
1.5 Hypothesis	20
1.6 Project Scope and Limitation.....	20
1.7 Research Outline.....	21
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	23
2.1 Steel and Its Classification.....	23
2.1.1 Effects of Alloying Elements in Steels	23
2.1.2 Carbon Steel	25
2.2 Corrosion	26
2.2.1 Factors of Corrosion	26

2.2.2	Forms of Corrosion.....	28
2.2.3	Protective Coatings.....	30
2.3	Electroless Plating.....	31
2.3.1	Electroless Nickel Plating Types	31
2.3.2	Basic Components of Electroless Nickel Plating Bath.....	32
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		36
3.1.	Research Flowchart.....	36
3.2.	Materials and Equipment	37
3.2.1	Materials	37
3.2.2	Equipment.....	37
3.3.	Research Variable.....	38
3.4.	Research Plan.....	38
3.4.1.	Specimen Material.....	38
3.4.2.	Immersion Test Plan	38
3.5.	Research Implementation	41
3.5.1.	Specimen Preparation.....	41
3.5.2.	Surface Preparation.....	42
3.5.3.	Electroless Nickel-Boron Plating	42
3.5.4.	Immersion Solution Preparation.....	44
3.6.	Testing Process.....	47
CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION		49
4.1	Corrosion of Carbon Steel	49
4.1.1	Without EN-B Plating.....	49
4.1.2	With EN-B Plating.....	98
4.1.3	Effectivity of EN-B Plating	133
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		137
5.1	Conclusion	137

5.2 Recommendation	137
LIST OF REFERENCES.....	138

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Chemical Composition of A36 Carbon Steel.....	38
Table 3.2 Specimen List and Test Plan.....	39
Table 3.2 Specimen List and Test Plan (cont.)	40
Table 3.2 Specimen List and Test Plan (cont.)	41
Table 3.3 Chemical Composition of EN-B Bath Solution.....	43
Table 3.4 Immersion Solution Concentration (HCl and KOH).....	46
Table 4.1 Result of Non-Coated Immersion Test Specimen in KOH.....	49
Table 4.1 Result of Non-Coated Immersion Test Specimen in KOH (cont.)	50
Table 4.2 Result of Non-Coated Immersion Test Specimen in HCl.....	65
Table 4.3 Result of Non-Coated Immersion Test Specimen in NaCl.....	81
Table 4.3 Result of Non-Coated Immersion Test Specimen in NaCl (cont.)	82
Table 4.4 Result of Coated Immersion Test Specimen in KOH.....	99
Table 4.4 Result of Coated Immersion Test Specimen in KOH (cont.)	100
Table 4.5 Result of Coated Immersion Test Specimen in HCl.....	110
Table 4.5 Result of Coated Immersion Test Specimen in HCl (cont.)	111
Table 4.6 Result of Coated Immersion Test Specimen in NaCl	121
Table 4.6 Result of Coated Immersion Test Specimen in NaCl (cont.).....	122

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Research Flowchart.....	36
Figure 3.2 A36 Carbon Steel	38
Figure 3.3 Immersion Specimen Size.....	42
Figure 3.4 EN-B Plating Solution	43
Figure 3.5 Non-Coated (Above) and Coated (Below) Specimen.....	44
Figure 3.6 pH Tester by Hanna Instrument	45
Figure 3.7 Immersion Test Solutions.....	47
Figure 3.8 Immersion Testing Implementation Plan	47
Figure 4.1 Graph of Weight Loss of Non-Coated Specimen Immersed in KOH	51
Figure 4.2 Graph of Corrosion Rate of Non-Coated Specimen Immersed in KOH.....	52
Figure 4.3 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 9	53
Figure 4.4 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 10	53
Figure 4.5 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 11.....	54
Figure 4.6 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 12	54
Figure 4.7 Specimen Immersed in KOH pH 11 at the End of the Test	55
Figure 4.8 Specimen Immersed in KOH pH 12 at the End of the Test	55
Figure 4.9 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 99	56
Figure 4.10 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 99 (cont.)	56
Figure 4.11 Result of Specimens Immersed in KOH pH 11	57
Figure 4.12 Result of Specimens Immersed in KOH pH 12	57
Figure 4.13 Specimen Immersed in KOH pH 9 at the End of the Test	58
Figure 4.14 Specimen Immersed in KOH pH 10 at the End of the Test	58
Figure 4.15 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 1	59
Figure 4.16 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 2.....	60
Figure 4.17 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 73.....	60
Figure 4.18 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 74.....	61
Figure 4.19 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 145.....	61
Figure 4.20 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 145 (cont.)	62
Figure 4.21 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 146.....	62
Figure 4.22 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 146 (cont.)	62
Figure 4.23 Localized Corrosion on Specimen Number 25 and 26	63
Figure 4.24 Result of Specimens Immersed in KOH pH 9	63

Figure 4.25 Result of Specimens Immersed in KOH pH 10	64
Figure 4.26 Graph of Weight Loss of Non-Coated Specimen Immersed in HCl.....	66
Figure 4.27 Graph of Corrosion Rate of Non-Coated Specimen Immersed in HCl.....	67
Figure 4.28 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 3	68
Figure 4.29 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 4	68
Figure 4.30 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 5	69
Figure 4.31 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 6	69
Figure 4.32 Specimen Immersed in HCl pH 3 at the End of the Test	70
Figure 4.33 Specimen Immersed in HCl pH 4 at the End of the Test	70
Figure 4.34 Specimen Immersed in HCl pH 5 at the End of the Test	71
Figure 4.35 Specimen Immersed in HCl pH 6 at the End of the Test	72
Figure 4.36 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 77	72
Figure 4.37 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 78.....	73
Figure 4.38 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 79.....	73
Figure 4.39 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 80.....	74
Figure 4.40 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 149.....	74
Figure 4.41 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 149 (cont.)	75
Figure 4.42 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 150.....	75
Figure 4.43 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 150 (cont.)	76
Figure 4.44 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 151	76
Figure 4.45 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 151 (cont.)	76
Figure 4.46 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 152.....	77
Figure 4.47 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 152 (cont.)	77
Figure 4.48 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 126.....	78
Figure 4.49 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 126 (cont.)	78
Figure 4.50 Result of Specimens Immersed in HCl pH 3	79
Figure 4.51 Result of Specimens Immersed in HCl pH 4	80
Figure 4.52 Result of Specimens Immersed in HCl pH 5	80
Figure 4.53 Result of Specimens Immersed in HCl pH 6	81
Figure 4.54 Graph of Weight Loss of Non-Coated Specimen Immersed in NaCl	83
Figure 4.55 Graph of Corrosion Rate of Non-Coated Specimen Immersed in NaCl.....	84
Figure 4.56 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution 3%.....	85
Figure 4.57 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution 5%.....	85
Figure 4.58 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution 7%.....	86

Figure 4.59 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution 10%.....	86
Figure 4.60 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 57.....	87
Figure 4.61 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 58.....	87
Figure 4.62 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 59.....	88
Figure 4.63 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 60.....	88
Figure 4.64 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 129.....	89
Figure 4.65 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 129 (cont.)	89
Figure 4.66 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 130.....	89
Figure 4.67 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 130 (cont.)	90
Figure 4.68 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 131	90
Figure 4.69 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 131 (cont.)	90
Figure 4.70 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 132.....	91
Figure 4.71 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 132 (cont.)	91
Figure 4.72 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 153.....	92
Figure 4.73 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 153 (cont.)	92
Figure 4.74 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 154.....	93
Figure 4.75 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 154 (cont.)	93
Figure 4.76 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 155.....	93
Figure 4.77 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 155 (cont.)	93
Figure 4.78 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 156.....	94
Figure 4.79 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 156.....	94
Figure 4.80 Result of Specimens Immersed in NaCl 3%.....	95
Figure 4.81 Result of Specimens Immersed in NaCl 5%.....	95
Figure 4.82 Result of Specimens Immersed in NaCl 7%.....	96
Figure 4.83 Result of Specimens Immersed in NaCl 10%.....	96
Figure 4.84 Graph of Comparison of Non-Coated Specimens Weight Loss.....	97
Figure 4.85 Graph of Comparison of Non-Coated Specimens Corrosion Rate	98
Figure 4.86 Weight Loss of Coated Specimen Immersed in KOH.....	101
Figure 4.87 Graph of Corrosion Rate of Coated Specimen Immersed in KOH.....	101
Figure 4.88 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 9	102
Figure 4.89 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 10	102
Figure 4.90 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 11.....	103
Figure 4.91 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 12	103
Figure 4.92 Coated Specimen Immersed in KOH pH 9 at the End of the Test.....	104

Figure 4.93 Coated Specimen Immersed in KOH pH 10 at the End of the Test.....	104
Figure 4.94 Coated Specimen Immersed in KOH pH 11 at the End of the Test.....	105
Figure 4.95 Coated Specimen Immersed in KOH pH 12 at the End of the Test.....	105
Figure 4.96 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 109.....	106
Figure 4.97 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 110.....	106
Figure 4.98 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 111.....	106
Figure 4.99 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in KOH pH 9.....	107
Figure 4.100 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in KOH pH 10.....	108
Figure 4.101 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in KOH pH 11.....	108
Figure 4.102 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in KOH pH 12.....	109
Figure 4.103 Graph of Weight Loss of Coated Specimen Immersed in HCl.....	112
Figure 4.104 Graph of Corrosion Rate of Coated Specimen Immersed in HCl.....	112
Figure 4.105 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 3.....	113
Figure 4.106 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 4.....	113
Figure 4.107 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 5.....	114
Figure 4.108 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution pH 6.....	114
Figure 4.109 Coated Specimen Immersed in HCl pH 3 at the End of the Test.....	115
Figure 4.110 Coated Specimen Immersed in HCl pH 4 at the End of the Test.....	115
Figure 4.111 Coated Specimen Immersed in HCl pH 5 at the End of the Test.....	116
Figure 4.112 Coated Specimen Immersed in HCl pH 6 at the End of the Test.....	116
Figure 4.113 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 137.....	117
Figure 4.114 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 137 (cont.).....	117
Figure 4.115 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 138.....	118
Figure 4.116 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 138.....	118
Figure 4.117 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in HCl pH 3.....	119
Figure 4.118 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in HCl pH 4.....	119
Figure 4.119 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in HCl pH 5.....	120
Figure 4.120 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in HCl pH 6.....	120
Figure 4.121 Graph of Weight Loss of Coated Specimen Immersed in NaCl.....	123
Figure 4.122 Graph of Corrosion Rate of Coated Specimen Immersed in NaCl.....	124
Figure 4.123 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution 3%.....	124
Figure 4.124 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution 5%.....	125
Figure 4.125 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution 7%.....	125
Figure 4.126 Q-Q Plot of Corrosion Rate for Solution 10%.....	126

Figure 4.127 Coated Specimen Immersed in NaCl 3% Concentration at the End of the Test	126
Figure 4.128 Coated Specimen Immersed in NaCl 5% Concentration at the End of the Test	127
Figure 4.129 Coated Specimen Immersed in NaCl 7% Concentration at the End of the Test	127
Figure 4.130 Coated Specimen Immersed in NaCl 10% Concentration at the End of the Test.....	128
Figure 4.131 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 165	129
Figure 4.132 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 165 (cont.)	129
Figure 4.133 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 146.....	129
Figure 4.134 Immersion Test Progress of Specimen Number 146 (cont.)	129
Figure 4.135 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in NaCl Concentration 3%.....	130
Figure 4.136 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in NaCl Concentration 5%.....	130
Figure 4.137 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in NaCl Concentration 7%.....	131
Figure 4.138 Result of Coated Specimens Immersed in NaCl Concentration 10%.....	131
Figure 4.139 Graph of Comparison of Coated Specimens Weight Loss	132
Figure 4.140 Graph of Comparison of Coated Specimens Corrosion Rate	133
Figure 4.141 Corrosion Rate Comparison of Coated and Non-Coated Specimen Immersed in KOH	133
Figure 4.142 Corrosion Rate Comparison of Coated and Non-Coated Specimen Immersed in HCl	134
Figure 4.143 Corrosion Rate Comparison of Coated and Non-Coated Specimen Immersed in NaCl.....	135