



**QUALITY IMPROVEMENT OF G-31 DEFECT ON
MASTER CYLINDER 2DP PRODUCT USING
DEFINE, MEASURE, ANALYZE, IMPROVEMENT,
AND CONTROL (DMAIC) METHOD IN GRAVITY
DIE-CASTING DEPARTMENT**

UNDERGRADUATE FINAL PROJECT

**Submitted as one of the requirements to obtain Sarjana Teknik
(S.T.)**

**By
Muhammad Abdul Aziz
ID NUMBER 004202000064**

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING STUDY PROGRAM
CIKARANG
2025**

SIMILARITY CHECKING RESULT



Page 1 of 83 - Cover Page

Submission ID: trnoid::3618:114176170

Muhammad Aziz

**FINAL PROJECT - MUHAMMAD AZIZ - 004202000064 CEK
TURNITIN FINAL**

 IEN Final Project Check

Document Details

Submission ID:

trnoid::3618:114176170

Submission Date:

Sep 25, 2025, 11:51 AM GMT+7

Download Date:

Sep 25, 2025, 1:24 PM GMT+7

File Name:

FINAL PROJECT - MUHAMMAD AZIZ - 004202000064 CEK TURNITIN FINAL.pdf

File Size:

1.3 MB

73 Pages

17,122 Words

83,184 Characters



Page 1 of 83 - Cover Page

Submission ID: trnoid::3618:114176170

14% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text

Match Groups

-  **18 Not Cited or Quoted** 12%
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **19 Missing Quotations** 1%
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation** 0%
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted** 0%
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 9%  Internet sources
- 5%  Publications
- 9%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

AI BASED PLAGIRISM CHECKING RESULT



Page 2 of 87 - AI Writing Overview

Submission ID: tm:oid::22779:113508420

0% detected as AI

The percentage indicates the combined amount of likely AI-generated text as well as likely AI-generated text that was also likely AI-paraphrased.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Detection Groups



0 AI-generated only 0%

Likely AI-generated text from a large-language model.



0 AI-generated text that was AI-paraphrased 0%

Likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



Page 2 of 87 - AI Writing Overview

Submission ID: tm:oid::22779:113508420

ABSTRACT

To maintain market share, the company guarantees high quality for the products offered to consumers. Master cylinder 2DP is one of the products produced at PT CHN and is one of the products with the highest production volume, but there are also many defects found for this product. In 2023, the total defect ratio of this product is 4.7 percents with the total number of defects is 53,430 pcs of 1,137,872 products. To existing this problem, conduct the analyze using DMAIC Method. DMAIC method was chosen because it provides a systematic and data-driven approach to identify problems, analyze the root causes, and implement effective improvements. Pareto diagram is used to determine the highest defect ratio. G-31 defect is the most common type defect found in M/C 2DP production process with the number of defects is 30 percents. SPC calculation is used to assist this research in statistical calculation with P chart attributes. Fish-bone diagram is used to analysis the cause and effect for this problem. Lack skill of new operators (man) and lowering the thickness of core (machine) is main cause that caused this defect. To overcome these problems, improvements were made by standardizing the re-coating process on the core (mold). The trial stage (improvement phase) is already running in five days production. There was a defect reduction from previously 4.7 percent to 3 percent. There was an increase in the sigma value from 3 to 4 after the improvements phase was implemented. If the continuous monitoring is carried out in the long term, the reduction defect will be even more specific (significant) and get the better sigma value.

Keyword: Quality Control, Defect Product, DMAIC Method, Master Cylinder Product, DPMO and Sigma Value, Pareto Diagram, Fishbone Diagram.

TABLE OF CONTENT

PANEL OF EXAMINER APPROVAL	ii
THESIS ADVISOR RECOMMENDATION LETTER	iii
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	iv
SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATION APPROVAL FOR ACADEMIC INTEREST	v
ADVISOR APPROVAL FOR JOURNAL/INSTITUTION'S REPOSITORY	v
QUALITY IMPROVEMENT OF G-31 DEFECT ON MASTER CYLINDER 2DP PRODUCT USING DEFINE, MEASURE, ANALYZE, IMPROVEMENT, AND CONTROL (DMAIC) METHOD IN GRAVITY DIE-CASTING DEPARTMENT.	vii
SIMILARITY CHECKING RESULT	viii
AI BASED PLAGIRISM CHECKING RESULT	x
ABSTRACT	xi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	xii
TABLE OF CONTENT	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF TERMINOLOGIES	xix
CHAPTER I	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Problem Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	3

1.4	Scope	4
1.5	Assumption	4
1.6	Research Outline	4
CHAPTER II		6
LITERATURE STUDY		6
2.1	Definition of Quality	6
2.2.1	Quality Control	7
2.2	Defect Product	9
2.3	DMAIC Method	10
2.3.1	Define	11
2.3.1.1	Critical to Quality	11
2.3.2	Measurement	12
2.3.2.1	DPMO and Sigma Level	12
2.3.3	Analyze	14
2.3.4	Improvements	14
2.4.5	Control	14
2.5	Tools of Quality Control	14
2.5.1	Statistical Process Control	15
2.5.2	Pareto Chart	17
2.5.3	Fish-bone Diagram	17
2.5.4	5W + 1H Analysis	19
CHAPTER III		20
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		20
3.1	Research Framework	20
3.2	Initial Observation	21
3.3	Problem Identifications	21

3.4 Literature Study	21
3.5 Data Collection.....	22
3.5.1 Observations	22
3.5.2 Interview	22
3.5.3 Documentations	23
3.6 Data Analysis	23
3.6.1 Define	23
3.6.2 Measurement.....	23
3.6.3 Analyze.....	24
3.6.4 Improvement.....	24
3.6.5 Control	25
3.7 Conclusion & Recommendation	25
CHAPTER IV	26
DATA COLLECTION & ANALYSIS	26
4.1 Initial Observation.....	26
4.1.1 Production Process	27
4.1.2 Problem Identification.....	31
4.1.3 Data Collection	32
4.1.3.1 Production Data & Defect Data.....	33
4.2 Define.....	38
4.2.1 SIPOC Diagram.....	38
4.2.2 Critical to Quality	39
4.3 Measurement	40
4.3.1 Statistical Process Control	40
4.3.2 DPMO and Sigma Value	43
4.4 Analyze	46

4.4.1 Fishbone Diagram	47
4.4.2 5W + 1H Analysis	50
4.5 Improvement.....	52
4.5.1 Trial of Improvement	59
4.6 Control	61
CHAPTER V	63
CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION	63
5.1 Conclusion.....	63
5.2 Recommendation.....	64
REFERENCES	65
APPENDIX.....	67

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4. 1 Production Data of Master Cylinder 2DP in 2023	32
Table 4. 2 Types of Defect Product	33
Table 4. 3 Production Data of Master Cylinder 2DP Product in 2023	34
Table 4. 4 Defect Frequency and Cumulative Percentage	36
Table 4. 5 SIPOC Diagram	39
Table 4. 6 Critical to Quality	40
Table 4. 7 P-Chart Calculation	42
Table 4. 8 DPMO and Sigma Value Calculation	45
Table 4. 9 5W + 1H Analysis	51
Table 4. 10 Improvement Plans	52
Table 4. 11 Thickness of Core (Improvement Phase)	57
Table 4. 12 Trial of Improvement Phase	59
Table 4. 13 DPMO Calculation and Sigma Value	60

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1 DMAIC Method	11
Figure 2. 2 Fish-bone Diagram Concept.....	18
Figure 3. 1 Research Methodology Framework	20
Figure 4. 1 Production Flowchart.....	27
Figure 4. 2 Gravity Die-casting Process	29
Figure 4. 3 Master Cylinder 2DP Product	31
Figure 4. 4 Defect Data of Master Cylinder 2DP Product	35
Figure 4. 5 Pareto Diagram.....	36
Figure 4. 6 G-31 Defect Product	37
Figure 4. 7 P-Chart of G-31 Defect.....	41
Figure 4. 8 DPMO Chart.....	46
Figure 4. 9 Sigma Value.....	46
Figure 4. 10 Fish-bone Diagram.....	48
Figure 4. 11 DOJO Production Simulator.....	53
Figure 4. 12 Removing Core from Mold Housing Process	55
Figure 4. 13 Firing Mold Housing Process	55
Figure 4. 14 Shoot-Blast Process	56
Figure 4. 15 Re-Coating Process	56
Figure 4. 16 Comparison Before-After Improvement	58
Figure 4. 17 DPMO Chart.....	61
Figure 4. 18 Sigma Level Chart	61

LIST OF TERMINOLOGIES

Six Sigma	:	A data-driven and statistical quality improvement methodology that purpose to reduce process variation and the number of defects to a level of 3.4 defects per million opportunities (DPMO).
DMAIC Method	:	The main method in Six Sigma used in industrial manufacturing to improve existing processes (quality) of products and services through five stages: Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, and Control.
Defect	:	Products or process results that do not meet established specifications or quality standards.
Master Cylinder	:	Product that produced by Gravity die-casting department.
SPC	:	Statistical Process Control, one of the tools in quality control based on statistical data to monitor and control variations in the production process to ensure stability and produce products according to standards.
DPMO	:	A statistical measure that shows the number of defects per million opportunities.
Sigma Level	:	A process quality performance indicator that shows how far a process is from specification limits; the higher the sigma level, the fewer defects occur.
CTQ	:	Critical to quality, the important aspects of a product or process that directly affect customer satisfaction.
Fish bone Diagram	:	A root cause analysis tool that describes the relationships between defect-causing factors in the categories of human, machine, method, material, and environment.
Pareto Diagram	:	Bar charts are used to identify problems and determine the problem with the highest chart.