



**THE INFLUENCE OF LOCUS OF CONTROL ON THE
INTEREST OF PRESIDENT UNIVERSITY ACCOUNTING
STUDENTS TAKING THE CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT
(CPA) EXAM**

UNDEGRADUATE THESIS

Submitted as one of the requirements to obtain

Sarjana Akuntansi

Prepared By:

Zakaria Kamal Hasan

Student ID:

008202200033

**FACULTY OF BUSINESS
ACCOUNTING STUDY PROGRAM
CIKARANG
AUGUST, 2025**

PLAGIARISM CHECK RESULT

turnitin

page 2 of 34: similarity overview

similarity ID: 1100423611102012

15% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources for each database.

Filtered from the Report

- Bibliography
- Quoted Text

Exclusions

- 59 Excluded Matches

Match Groups

100% Cited or Quoted 13%

Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks

25 Missing Quotations 3%

Matches that are still very similar to source material

0 Missing Citation 0%

Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation

0 Cited and Quoted 0%

Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

0% Internet sources

7% Publications

11% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Activate Windows

Go to Settings to activate Windows.

AI CHECK RESULT

Zakaria Kamal Hasan

Draft Skripsi Zakaria - tes turnitin

 Thesis Business

Document Details

Submission ID

trnxids:3618-110291121

Submission Date

Aug 31, 2025, 4:27 PM GMT+7

Download Date

Sep 1, 2025, 10:00 AM GMT+7

File Name

Draft Skripsi Zakaria - tes turnitin.docx

File Size

400.7 KB

46 Pages

7,362 Words

40,531 Characters

*% detected as AI

AI detection includes the possibility of false positives. Although some text in the submission is likely AI-generated, scores below the 20% threshold are not surfaced because they have a higher likelihood of false positives.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate as AI models may produce either false positive results or false negative results, so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes human coding and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of the specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does "qualifying text" mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



ABSTRACT

This study examined the factors that influence the interest of accounting students at President University in taking the Certified Public Accountant (CPA) exam. This quantitative investigation focused on an independent variable such as External Locus of Control. A purposive sampling technique was used to gather data and the researcher collected 100 respondents from students across batch 2022 and 2023. The collected data were processed using the SmartPLS software. The findings confirm that External Locus of Control is significant predictors of interest in the CPA certification. It is anticipated that these results will assist accounting department of President University and Professional Institute like IAPI, IAI and others in formulating effective strategies to grow the number of accounting professionals in Indonesia. A noted limitation is the study's lack of variables describing students' direct intentions to face the exam. consequently, future research could be strengthened by including mediating factors like self-efficacy.

Keywords: *CPA Exam Interest, External Locus of Control, Professional Certification.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PANEL OF EXAMINERS APROVAL.....	ii
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	iii
SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATION APPROVAL FOR ACADEMIC INTEREST	iv
ADVISOR’S APPROVAL FOR PUBLICATION	v
PLAGIARISM CHECK RESULT	vi
AI CHECK RESULT	vi
AI CHECK RESULT	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xiii
ABSTRACT.....	xiv
CHAPTER I.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Research Problem.....	5
1.3. Research Question	7
1.4. Research Objectives and Benefits	7
1.4.1 Research Objectives	7
1.4.2 Research Benefits	7
CHAPTER II.....	9
LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Theoritical Foundation	9
2.1.1 Theory of Planned Behavior	9
2.2 Literature Review	11
2.2.1 Locus of Control.....	11
2.2.4 Interest.....	15
2.2.5 Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Indonesia.....	17
2.3 Relevant Research Results	18

2.4	Conceptual Framework	22
2.5	Hypothesis	22
2.5.1	The Influence of Locus of Control on Interest in Taking the Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Exam	22
CHAPTER III.....		24
METHODOLOGY		24
3.2	Research Variables	27
3.3	Data Analysis Technique	29
3.3.1	Data Processing Method.....	29
3.3.2	Statistical Analysis of Data	30
CHAPTER IV		34
RESULT ANALYSIS, DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATION		34
4.1.	Analysis Descriptive	34
4.2.	Partial Least Square (PLS) Model Scheme	35
4.3.	Outer Model	36
4.3.1.	Composite Reliability, Cronbach's Alpha & Average Variance Extracted (AVE)	36
4.3.2.	Discriminant Validity	37
4.4.	Inner Model.....	39
4.5.	Discussion	42
4.5.1.	Locus of Control External and Certification Exam Interest.....	42
CHAPTER V.....		43
CONCLUSION, LIMITATIONS, AND SUGGESTIONS		43
5.1.	Conclusion.....	43
5.2.	Implication	43
5.3.	Limitation	44
REFERENCES.....		46
APPENDIX		ii

LIST OF TABLES

Tabel 2. 1 Basic Level	14
Tabel 2. 2 Professional Level	14
Table 3. 1 Likert Scale	25
Table 4. 1 Respondent's Characteristics (n=100)	35
Table 4. 2 Construct Validity and Reliability	37
Table 4. 3 Fornell Larcker	37
Table 4. 4 Cross Loading.....	38
Table 4. 5 Path Coefficient.....	39
Table 4. 6 Result of Analyze Hypothesis	40
Table 4. 7 R-Square	41
Table 4. 8 F-Square	41

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1 Conceptual Framework.....	22
Figure 4. 1 PLS Modeling Scheme	36