



**OPTIMIZING TRUE WIRELESS STEREO SELECTION
USING TOPSIS METHOD**

UNDERGRADUATE THESIS

**Submitted as one of the requirements to obtain
Sarjana Komputer**

By:

HANIYAH PUTRI FAJRI SANNI

012201900020

**FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
INFORMATION SYSTEM STUDY PROGRAM**

CIKARANG

JUNE 2023

PANEL OF EXAMINER APPROVAL

The Panel of Examiners declare that the undergraduate thesis entitled **OPTIMIZING TRUE WIRELESS STEREO SELECTION USING TOPSIS METHOD** that was submitted by **HANIYAH PUTRI FAJRI SANNI** majoring in **Information System** from the Faculty of Computer Science assessed and approved to have passed the Oral Examination on Thursday June 8, 2023.

Panel of Examiner



ROSALINA

Chair of Panel Examiner



RUSDIANTO ROESTAM

Examiner I

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

In my capacity as an active student of President University and as the author of the undergraduate thesis/final project/business plan stated below:

Name : Haniyah Putri Fajri Sanni

Student ID number : 012201900020

Study Program : Information System

Faculty : Computer Science

I hereby declare that my undergraduate thesis/final project/business plan entitled "OPTIMIZING TRUE WIRELESS STEREO SELECTION USING TOPSIS METHOD" is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, an original piece of work based on sound academic principles. If there is any plagiarism, including but not limited to Artificial Intelligence plagiarism, is detected in this undergraduate thesis/final project/business plan, I am willing to be personally responsible for the consequences of these acts of plagiarism, and accept the sanctions against these acts in accordance with the rules and policies of President University.

I also declare that this work, either in whole or in part, has not been submitted to another university to obtain a degree.

Cikarang, 5 June 2023

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of stylized, overlapping loops and a vertical line ending in a dot.

(Haniyah Putri Fajri Sanni)

SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATION APPROVAL FOR ACADEMIC INTEREST

As an academic community member of the President's University, I, the undersigned:

Name : Haniyah Putri Fajri Sanni
Student ID number : 012201900020
Study program : Information System

for the purpose of development of science and technology, certify, and approve to give President University a non-exclusive royalty-free right upon my final report with the title:

OPTIMIZING TRUE WIRELESS STEREO SELECTION USING TOPSIS METHOD

With this non-exclusive royalty-free right, President University is entitled to converse, to convert, to manage in a database, to maintain, and to publish my final report. There are to be done with the obligation from President University to mention my name as the copyright owner of my final report.

This statement I made in truth.

Cikarang, 5 June 2023



(Haniyah Putri Fajri Sanni)

ADVISOR APPROVAL FOR JOURNAL/INSTITUTION'S REPOSITORY

As an academic community member of the President's University, I, the undersigned:

Name : Cutifa Safitri, Ph.D.
Student ID number : 20190900815
Study Program : Informatics
Faculty : Computing

declare that following thesis :

Title of thesis : OPTIMIZING TRUE WIRELESS STEREO SELECTION
USING TOPSIS METHOD

Thesis author : Haniyah Putri Fajri Sanni
Student ID number : 012201900020

will be published in **journal / institution's repository / proceeding / unpublsh /**
..... (underline that applies)

Cikarang, 5 June 2023



(Cutifa Safitri, Ph.D.)

SIMILARITY INDEX REPORT

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%
SIMILARITY INDEX

13%
INTERNET SOURCES

3%
PUBLICATIONS

8%
STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	repository.president.ac.id Internet Source	5%
2	Submitted to President University Student Paper	3%
3	worldwidescience.org Internet Source	1%
4	M M D Widianta, T Rizaldi, D P S Setyohadi, H Y Riskiawan. "Comparison of Multi-Criteria Decision Support Methods (AHP, TOPSIS, SAW & PROMENTHEE) for Employee Placement", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018 Publication	<1%
5	www.coursehero.com Internet Source	<1%
6	ijcis.net Internet Source	<1%
7	Submitted to October University for Modern Sciences and Arts (MSA) Student Paper	<1%

Stats

Average Perplexity Score: 88.000

A document's perplexity is a measurement of the randomness of the text

Burstiness Score: 85.626

A document's burstiness is a measurement of the variation in perplexity

Your sentence with the highest perplexity, "Panel of Examiner ROSALINA Chair of Panel Examiner RUSDIANTO ROESTAM Examiner I OPTIMIZING TRUE WIRELESS STEREO SELECTION USING TOPSIS METHOD By Hanayah Putri Fajri Sanni 012201900020 Approved: Cutifa Safitri, Ph.D. Ronny Juwono, S.Pd., M.T.", has a perplexity of:

ABSTRACT

The current market is flooded with a wide variety of True Wireless Stereo (TWS) options, which presents a wide range of choices for consumers when it comes to buying TWS earbuds. This large number of choices has caused a frequent problem for people who want to buy TWS earbuds, namely confusion and hesitation among consumers. The different TWS models vary in terms of features, specifications, and price points, making it quite difficult for consumers to determine which option best suits their needs. Factors such as sound quality, battery life, convenience, connectivity and other additional features also further complicate the decision-making process. As a result, consumers often end up unsure which product will best suit their needs.

To compare True Wireless Stereo brands and choose the best according to consumer needs, we can use a technique for order preference based on similarity to ideal solutions (TOPSIS) multi-criteria decision-making method (MCDM). In general, this method works by assessing the weight of each criterion which is the determining factor in a case study. TOPSIS calculations can then be run using these weights.

The purpose of this method is to find a more prioritized value from this case study, which is also called ranking. In this study, researchers determined several criteria as a comparison including; Sound Quality, Noise Cancellation, Battery Durability, Device Compatibility, and TWS prices are then calculated using the TOPSIS method. Later, the results of this study will be the best True Wireless Stereo recommendations, which can later be considered strong enough for consumers to choose True Wireless Stereo based on their needs in order to produce accurate, effective and efficient information.

Keywords: TOPSIS Method, True Wireless Stereo Selection, MCDM, Decision Support System.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I want to thank Allah SWT, because of His grace the author can complete the Final Project. Together with other parties who supported the author during this final project. I would like to say thank the following to:

1. My Family, who supported and prayers given to the author to complete this final project also during my university life
2. My final project advisor, Ms. Cutifa Safitri, Ph.D. who guides, encourage, and support me for completing this final project
3. All Lectures and Staff on Faculty of Computing who gave me advice also gave valuable knowledge to me during studying at President University
4. Joice Sealtiel, for all the motivation and support to the author and as well helped the author during I completing this final project
5. All my friends, Bastian, Nadine, Sulthan Zahran, Rive, Syahir, and as well as all other parties who supported the author which cannot be mentioned one by one.

The author realizes that the writing of this report is far from perfect. Therefore, suggestions and constructive criticism are highly expected for the improvement of this final project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
CHAPTER I	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Problem Statement	1
1.3. Thesis Objectives	2
1.4. Scope and Limitations.....	2
1.5. Thesis Methodology.....	2
1.6. Final Project Outline	3
CHAPTER II.....	5
LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Web-Based Programming Language	5
2.2. Decision Support System.....	7
2.3. Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS).....	8
2.4. Related Work	11
CHAPTER III	13
SYSTEM ANALYSIS	13
3.1. System Overview	13
3.2. Function Analysis	13
3.3. Use Case Diagram.....	14
3.4. Use Case Narrative.....	15
3.5. Swim Lane Diagram	21
3.6. Hardware and Software Requirement	25
CHAPTER IV	27
SYSTEM DESIGN	27
4.1. User Interface Design.....	27
4.2. Database Table.....	31
SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION	33
5.1. User Interface.....	33
5.2. Application Details	37
CHAPTER VI.....	47
SYSTEM TESTING	47
6.1. Testing Environment.....	47
6.2. Testing Scenario.....	47

CHAPTER VII.....	61
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	61
7.1. Conclusion	61
7.2. Future Works	61
REFERENCES	62

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.2.1 TWS Finder Function Analysis	14
Table 3.4.1 Use Case Narrative Of Access Home Page	15
Table 3.4.2 Use Case Narrative Of Input Weight Of Each Criteria.....	17
Table 3.4.3 Use Case Narrative Of Contact Admin.....	18
Table 3.4.4 Use Case Narrative Of Product List Maintenance	19
Table 3.4.5 Use Case Narrative Of Delete Product List Data.....	21
Table 4.2.1 Database TWS Data Table.....	32
Table 6.2.1 Home Page Test Scenario	48
Table 6.2.2 TWS Recommendation Page – Test Scenario	50
Table 6.2.3 Result Page – Test Scenario.....	54
Table 6.2.4 Product List Page – Test Scenario	55
Table 6.2.5 Input Product List Data Page – Test Scenario	58
Table 6.2.6 Input About Us Page – Test Scenario.....	60

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.3.1 Topsis Calculation Flowchart.....	9
Figure 2.3.2 Topsis Calculation Method.....	10
Figure 2.3.3 Topsis Calculation Method.....	10
Figure 2.3.4 Topsis Calculation Method.....	11
Figure 2.4.1 Related Work: Inponsel.....	11
Figure 2.4.2 Related Work: Arena Laptop.....	12
Figure 3.3.1 Tws Finder Use Case Diagram.....	14
Figure 3.5.1 Swim Lane Diagram Of Access Home Page.....	22
Figure 3.5.2 Swim Lane Diagram Of Data Calculation.....	23
Figure 3.5.3 Swim Lane Diagram Of Contact Admin.....	23
Figure 3.5.4 Swim Lane Diagram Of Add Product List Data.....	24
Figure 3.5.5 Swim Lane Diagram Of Delete Product List Data.....	24
Figure 4.1.1 User Interface Design Of Home Page.....	28
Figure 4.1.2 User Interface Design Of Tws Recommendation Page.....	29
Figure 4.1.3 User Interface Design Of Result Page.....	29
Figure 4.1.4 User Interface Product List Page.....	30
Figure 4.1.5 User Interface Input Product List Data.....	30
Figure 4.1.6 User Interface Design Of About Us Page.....	31
Figure 5.1.1 User Interface Of Home Page.....	33
Figure 5.1.2 User Interface Of Home Page.....	34
Figure 5.1.3 User Interface Of Tws Recommendation Page.....	34
Figure 5.1.4 User Interface Of Tws Recommendation Page.....	35
Figure 5.1.5 User Interface Of Product List Page.....	35
Figure 5.1.6 User Interface Of Input Product List Data Page.....	36
Figure 5.1.7 User Interface Of About Us Page.....	36
Figure 5.2.1 Source Code Of Home Page.....	37
Figure 5.2.2 Source Code Of Tws Recommendation Page.....	38
Figure 5.2.3 Source Code Of Result Page.....	39
Figure 5.2.4 Source Code Of Result Page.....	39
Figure 5.2.5 Source Code Of Result Page.....	40
Figure 5.2.6 Source Code Of Result Page.....	40
Figure 5.2.7 Source Code Of Result Page.....	40
Figure 5.2.8 Source Code Of Result Page.....	41
Figure 5.2.9 Source Code Of Result Page.....	41
Figure 5.2.10 Source Code Of Result Page.....	42
Figure 5.2.11 Source Code Of Result Page.....	42
Figure 5.2.12 Source Code Of Result Page.....	43
Figure 5.2.13 Source Code Of Product List Page.....	43
Figure 5.2.14 Source Code Of Product List Page.....	44
Figure 5.2.15 Source Code Of Input Product List Data Page.....	45
Figure 5.2.16 Source Code Of Input Product List Data Page.....	45
Figure 5.2.17 Source Code Of Input Product List Data Page.....	46
Figure 5.2.18 Source Code Of Input Product List Data Page.....	46
Figure 6.2.1 Home Page – Test Scenario 1.....	48
Figure 6.2.2 Home Page – Test Scenario 2.....	48

Figure 6.2.3 Home Page – Test Scenario 3.....	49
Figure 6.2.4 Home Page – Test Scenario 4.....	49
Figure 6.2.5 Home Page – Test Scenario 5.....	49
Figure 6.2.6 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 1	50
Figure 6.2.7 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 1	51
Figure 6.2.8 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 2	51
Figure 6.2.9 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 2	51
Figure 6.2.10 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 3	52
Figure 6.2.11 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 3	52
Figure 6.2.12 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 4	52
Figure 6.2.13 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 4	53
Figure 6.2.14 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 5	53
Figure 6.2.15 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 5	53
Figure 6.2.16 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 6	54
Figure 6.2.17 Tws Recommendation Page – Test Scenario 6	54
Figure 6.2.18 Result Page – Test Scenario 1	55
Figure 6.2.19 Result Page – Test Scenario 2	55
Figure 6.2.20 Product List Page – Test Scenario 1	56
Figure 6.2.21 Product List Page – Test Scenario 2.....	56
Figure 6.2.22 Product List Page – Test Scenario 3.....	56
Figure 6.2.23 Product List Page – Test Scenario 3.....	57
Figure 6.2.24 Product List Page – Test Scenario 3.....	57
Figure 6.2.25 Product List Page – Test Scenario 4.....	57
Figure 6.2.26 Product List Page – Test Scenario 4.....	58
Figure 6.2.27 Input Product List Data Page – Test Scenario 1	58
Figure 6.2.28 Input Product List Data Page – Test Scenario 2.....	59
Figure 6.2.29 Input Product List Data Page – Test Scenario 3.....	59
Figure 6.2.30 Input Product List Data Page – Test Scenario 4.....	59
Figure 6.2.31 Input Product List Data Page – Test Scenario 4.....	60
Figure 6.2.32 Input Product List Data Page – Test Scenario 1	60
Figure 6.2.33 Input Product List Data Page – Test Scenario 2.....	60