



**THE FRAGMENTATION OF THE GLOBAL
GOVERNANCE ARCHITECTURE OF
NONCOMMUNICABLE DISEASES PREVENTION
AND CONTROL**

By

**BEATRICE DEVIANA J. L. MENDROFA
016202100016**

**A thesis presented to the Faculty of Social Science and Education
President University
In partial fulfillment of the requirements to obtain
Sarjana Sosial (S.Sos.) in International Relations
Concentration in Globalization and Sustainability**

2024

THE FRAGMENTATION OF THE GLOBAL GOVERNANCE ARCHITECTURE OF NONCOMMUNICABLE DISEASES PREVENTION AND CONTROL

ORIGINALITY REPORT

11%

SIMILARITY INDEX

9%

INTERNET SOURCES

8%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

edepot.wur.nl

Internet Source

1%

2

www.irpa.eu

Internet Source

1%

3

apps.who.int

Internet Source

1%

4

www.who.int

Internet Source

1%

5

Román Pérez Velasco, Dorota Jarosińska.
"Update of the WHO global air quality
guidelines: systematic reviews – an
introduction", Environment International,
2022

Publication

<1%

6

Andrew D. Mitchell, Paula O'Brien. "If One
Thai Bottle Should Accidentally Fall: Health
Information, Alcohol Labelling and

<1%

Turnitin GLOBAL GOVERNANCE ARCHITECTURE OF NONCOMMUNICABLE DISEASES PREVENTION AND CONTRO...

 Assignment

 Class

 University

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::1:2990650256

Submission Date

Aug 26, 2024, 12:58 PM UTC

Download Date

Aug 26, 2024, 1:01 PM UTC

File Name

Turnitin_GLOBAL_GOVERNANCE_ARCHITECTURE_OF_NONCOMMUNICABLE_DISEASES_PREVENTIO.....pdf

File Size

1.4 MB

45 Pages

12,756 Words

69,230 Characters

*% detected as AI

AI detection includes the possibility of false positives. Although some text in this submission is likely AI generated, scores below the 20% threshold are not surfaced because they have a higher likelihood of false positives.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?

The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.



ABSTRACT

This study aims to discuss the factors that hinder the progress to reduce the global burden of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs). Since the progress rate of global NCDs burden reduction has been declining, the performance of the global governance of NCDs prevention and control can be evaluated. Previous studies have addressed that there have been differences globally in perceiving the urgency of reducing NCDs burden which affect action intensity, but little show the correlation between the available international instruments, norms, and relevant actors. This study uses the fragmentation of global governance architecture framework which analyzes a governance based on its institutional integration, norm conflict, and actors constellation. Academic literature, official documents of international organizations, international instruments, industry documents, and reports were used to support the analysis in this study. The global governance architecture of NCDs prevention and control consists of health- and trade-related instruments, which are based on different aspects of human rights norms. These instruments cause low institutional integration of the governance, leading to the conflicting prioritization between health and trade objectives among state and non-state actors. This study demonstrates how the conflictive fragmentation of the global governance architecture of NCDs prevention and control slows down the decision-making process that is favorable to the global effort to reduce NCDs risk factors.

Keywords:

Noncommunicable diseases, fragmentation of global governance architecture, institutional integration, norm conflicts, actor constellations

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to Jesus for giving me the strength to complete my studies and all the things that I have gone through. He also blessed me with the people whom I would like to thank for being a part in my thesis journey:

1. my family: bestie Vina (my mom who requested to be addressed this way in this Acknowledgement because she wants to be “forever young”), Papa, and my siblings who have been supporting me endlessly;
2. my thesis adviser, Mr. Sigit, whom I would like to thank for dedicating his time and guidance that significantly helped me in writing this thesis, and also for all the valuable knowledge that I had received during my study in President University;
3. Koblas (Sambal Kayang) girls, who have been inspiring and encouraging me to finish this thesis, and who have always been helping when I need some information on anything;
4. Pantai Indah Nijmegen (PIN), who have been sharing their thesis writing journey, making me even more motivated to finish mine; *and*
5. PUES, who always kept me posted on university updates.

This thesis marks the end of my studies at President University. Reaching this point would have not been possible without the people that I met throughout my college journey. For that, I would also like to specifically thank my PU friends and classmates from: Hydra (STO ‘21), Class 5 semester 1, Sisu (IR Gath ‘21), Oshima (STO ‘22), Jamet, Kamu Nanyea Band, French Club ‘23, Cool, International Student Orientation (ISTO) 2022 team, IISMA PU, Atur Jadwal Coding, my IS friends I did the semester 3 social project with, and my accounting classmates from Microeconomics and Macroeconomics elective courses. I am truly thankful that God allowed them to be part of my college life, and I sincerely wish them all the best.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THESIS ADVISER RECOMMENDATION LETTER.....	i
DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY.....	ii
PANEL OF EXAMINER APPROVAL SHEET.....	iii
SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATION APPROVAL FOR ACADEMIC INTEREST.....	iv
ADVISOR APPROVAL FOR PUBLICATION.....	v
ABSTRACT.....	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES.....	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	x
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
I.1. Background of the Study.....	1
I.2. Literature Review.....	2
I.3. Problem Identification.....	6
I.4. Problem Statement.....	6
I.5. Research Objective.....	7
I.6. Significance of the Study.....	7
I.7. Theoretical Framework.....	7
I.8. Methodology.....	9
I.9. Scope and Limitations of the Study.....	11
I.10. Thesis Structure.....	11
II. THE BURDEN OF NONCOMMUNICABLE DISEASES.....	12
II.1. The Disability-adjusted Life Years (DALYs).....	12
II.2. Data on NCDs burden.....	13
III. CORE COMPONENTS OF GLOBAL GOVERNANCE ARCHITECTURE OF NONCOMMUNICABLE DISEASES PREVENTION AND CONTROL.....	18
II.1. Non-binding instruments on NCDs prevention and control.....	18
II.2. Global trade agreements.....	23
II.3. Framework Convention on the Tobacco Control.....	26
IV. ANALYSIS ON THE FRAGMENTATION OF THE GLOBAL GOVERNANCE ARCHITECTURE OF NCD PREVENTION AND CONTROL.....	30
IV.1. Institutional integration.....	30
IV.2. Norm conflicts.....	35
IV.3. Actors constellation.....	36
V. CONCLUSION.....	44
REFERENCES.....	46
APPENDIX.....	55

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1	: Typology of Fragmentation of Governance Architectures	9
Figure 1	: Global DALYs of Four Major NCDs in 1990–2021.....	13
Figure 2	: Global DALYs of Four Major NCDs Combined Based on World Bank Income Level in 1990–2021.....	14
Figure 3	: Global DALYs of Four Major NCDs and Infectious Diseases in 1990–2021.....	15
Figure 4	: Probability of dying from the four major NCDs between ages 30 and 69 years (%), projection versus SDG target.....	15
Figure 5	: Global DALYs for Diabetes & Kidney Diseases, 1990–2021.....	16
Figure 6	: Global DALYs by Four Most Common Risk Factors of NCDs, 1990–2021.....	17
Figure 7	: The implementation status of NCDs policies across 194 WHO Member States, 2020.....	22
Figure 8	: Map of disputes between WTO Members.....	25

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

COP	Conference of the Parties
DALYs	Disability-adjusted life years
EU	European Union
F&B	Food and Beverages
FCTC	Framework Convention on Tobacco Control
GAP	Global Action Plan
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
HLM	High-level Meeting
NCD	Noncommunicable disease
TBT	Technical Barriers to Trade
UN	United Nations
UNGA	United Nations General Assembly
US	United States
WHA	World Health Assembly
WHO	World Health Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization
YLDs	Years lived with a disability
YLLs	Years of life lost due to premature mortality